

Drawing Reference Numbers (specify whether Plan, Section, Profile or Elevation):

D2 } section of southern wall # D4: plan of entire trench
D3 }

Record Photograph #s
(include description)

IMG-8585-8589 } C80F
IMG-859F-8604 } pre-ex
IMG-8596 } IMG-8609, 8611, 8612, 8613 → post-ex

2D Ortho Photograph #s

3D Model #s

R264.

Bulk Finds: None Pottery Bone Lithics Shell Charcoal Marble CBM Other (please note):

(tick)

Small Finds: (list, noting: Bag #, Type, Material)

#4: spindle horn.
#6: chipped stone from sieve.
#10: chipped stone from lab.

Samples: (list, noting: Sample #, Type, Volume)

Deposit Sieved: YES/NO

% Sieved:

Discussion: Consider: What is it? What is your interpretation rationale? How does this context relate to surrounding contexts? Have there been post-depositional processes? Are there special characteristics of this context? How was this context formed? What do you think is the origin of this context?


After the wall (806) was revealed, the possibility of a ditch on the western side needed to be explored because it was similar to H8-99. ~~After~~ A greyish dark brown surface⁽⁸⁰⁷⁾ was revealed on the west, separated from the wall by a surface of white neogene natural soil (808). The soil of 807 that was closer to the natural soil had many white limestone inclusions and it was yellowish at some parts, ~~but it got darker and more grey in the west.~~ Also on the eastern side the pieces of pottery were bigger. Pottery both from Neolithic I & II (almost same amount). Pieces of LNII were larger. When we reached a depth of 0,88m we decided to change context to 809, in order to safely explore the depth of the possible ditch and due to the slight color change.

Time Period (include rationale):

Phase Number:

Feature / Stratigraphic Group Number:

Excavated and recorded by: K.P.

Checked by: 

Date: 24/07/23

Date: 26.07. '23