

Drawing Reference Numbers (specify whether Plan, Section, Profile or Elevation):

#2

Record Photograph #s  
(include description)

# PMS 0616 to PMS 0618

2D Ortho Photograph #s

3D Model #s

#8

Discussion: Consider: What is it? What is your interpretation rationale? How does this context relate to surrounding contexts? Have there been post-depositional processes? Are there special characteristics of this context? How was this context formed? What do you think is the origin of this context? (Additional sketches may be drawn here if required).

Notes

- LIMIT OF CUT <sup>BARELY</sup> NOT PERCEPTIBLE FOR THE UPPER AND MIDDLE LEVEL
- CUT DEFINED BY DIFFERENCES IN SOIL CONSISTENCY AT ITS BOTTOM.
- CUT DISTURBED BY MACHINE EXCAVATION
- Cut defined based on the firmness of the soil (loose on the inside, firm outside)

Text: The cut [613] of the burial F1 was only visible at the bottom around the bones by the consistency of the fill 606, loose on the inside, firm outside. Truncated by the digger and earlier by the modern ploughing, only 0,07 m depth were preserved.

Oval shape in plan, the profile is unknown. The shape of the base is irregular, that can explain the different levels of the bones of the skeleton 603.

AZC 17/07/2023

Time Period (include rationale):	Phase Number:	Feature / Stratigraphic Group Number:
Excavated and recorded by: CH/KP	Checked by: AJZ	
Date: 11/07/2023	AZC 11/07/2023	Date: 17/07/2023

17/07/2023